

## UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
06/932+470	11/18/86	КАН		С	CLCK-86	
JACK N. MC CARTHY 655 BIMIŅI RD.			7	EXAMINER		
			ı	BURKHART • P		
SATELLITE E	EACH, FL 32	937		ART U	NIT	PAPER NUMBER
				314	1	12

**ADVISORY ACTION** 

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

\_\_\_\_ from the date of the Final Rejection

06/29/88

continues to run from the date of the Final Rejection
expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
Any extension of time must be obtained by filling a petition under 37 CFR 1.138(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filled is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date that the shortened statutory period for response expires as set forth above.
Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed, has been considered with the following affect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
1. The proposed amendments to the claim and/or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
<ul> <li>There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.118(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.</li> </ul>
b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for

e. 

They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

. L	Upon the filing of an appeal, the proposed amendment $\square$ will be $\square$ will not be, entered and the status of the claims in this application would be as follows:
	Allowed claims:
	Claims objected to:
	Claims rejected:
	However;
	a. The rejection of claims on references is deemed to be overcome by applicant's response.
	b. 🔲 The rejection of claims on non-reference grounds only is deemed to be overcome by applicant's response.
ı. C	The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection.
s. [	The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficient reasons why it was not earlier presented.
	The proposed drawing correction  has has not been approved by the examiner.
K	OTHER. IN VIEW OF PAPER NO. 10 FILED MAY 31, 1988, THE INITIAL MEMORANI HAS BEEN PREPAPED AS PER SECTION 2309. 02 OF THE MPEP. HOW
	AS PARCE ALL TO THE NOT CONSTITUTE A RESPONSE TO THE LAST
	OFFICE ACTION, AND AS AN INTERFERENCE HAS NOT YET BEEN
•	DELLARD, PRICEEDINGS ARE NOT SUSPENDED IN THE INSTAN
	APPLICATION. SEE MPEP 2307.01 AND 2311. Linder Kooke to
	ANDRES MACHINIMAN

NOTE:

Newly proposed or amended claims ....
non-allowable claims.

THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: